



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/845,473	04/30/2001	Daniel P. Kusmer	1391-19601 DAR	3037

7590 01/07/2005

COLLIN A. ROSE
CONLEY, ROSE & TAYON, P.C.
P.O. BOX 3267
HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267

EXAMINER

GAY, JENNIFER HAWKINS

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3672

DATE MAILED: 01/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

09/845,473

Applicant(s)

KUSMER, DANIEL P.

Examiner

Jennifer H Gay

Art Unit

3672

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 29 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____.

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☒ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: 10.Claim(s) objected to: 1-9 and 11.

Claim(s) rejected: _____.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. ☒ The drawing ~~correction~~ filed on 06 December 2004 is a) ☒ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.
10. ☐ Other: _____


DAVID BAGNELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has argued, with regards to claim 1, that Semar does not teach a camming member disposed axial between first and second tapered members in relation to the longitudinal axis of the apparatus. The examiner disagrees and notes that the amendment made to claim 1 merely states that the first and tapered members are disposed on opposite sides of the longitudinal axis of the apparatus with the camming member located therebetween. This is a feature that is clearly taught by Semar as shown in the Figures. Applicant has further argued, with respect to claim 11, that Semar does not teach a double side wedge with first and second tapered surfaces on opposite sides in relation to the longitudinal axis of the apparatus because the "wedge" of Semar is a cone and cannot have two opposite tapered surfaces. The examiner disagrees and notes that the "wedge" of Semar clearly has two tapered surfaces on opposite sides in relation to the longitudinal axis of the apparatus as shown in Figure 3 where it can be seen that element "22", the wedge, has two opposite tapered sides. Further, like claim 1, claim 11 has been amended to merely indicated that the first and tapered surfaces are disposed on opposite sides of the longitudinal axis which is clearly shown in the Figures..